	Case 1:25-cv-00159-KES-HBK Docume	ent 8 Filed 05/15/25 Page 1 of 2	
1 2 3 4 5 6			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CANDACE SMITH,	Case No. 1:25-cv-00159-KES-HBK	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND	
13	v.	RECOMMENDATIONS	
14	SPICY MAYO RESTAURANT, et al.,	Doc. 6	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff Candace Smith is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action filed on		
18	February 7, 2025. Doc. 1. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant		
19	to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On February 21, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge screened the complaint and found		
21	that it did not set forth a basis for subject matter jurisdiction and otherwise failed to state a		
22	cognizable claim for relief. See Doc. 4. The screening order granted plaintiff leave to file an		
23	amended complaint. <i>Id.</i> at 5. On March 18, 2025, plaintiff filed a first amended complaint.		
24	Doc. 5. On March 20, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations,		
25	finding that the first amended complaint did not cure the identified deficiencies and		
26	recommending that it be dismissed without leave to amend. Doc. 6.		
27	The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any		
28	objections to the findings and recommendation	ons were to be filed within fourteen (14) days from	

	Case 1:25-cv-00159-KES-HBK Document 8 Filed 05/15/25 Page 2 of 2		
1	the date of service. <i>Id.</i> at 8. On April 24, 2025, plaintiff untimely filed objections. Doc. 7. The		
2	objections do not address the magistrate judge's findings that plaintiff's complaint fails to state a		
3	cognizable claim and fails to establish diversity jurisdiction, and do not otherwise provide any		
4	other arguable basis to find that the findings and recommendations were erroneous. See id.		
5	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1), the Court has conducted a de		
6	novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court concludes that the findings		
7	and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.		
8	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:		
9	1. The findings and recommendations issued on March 20, 2025, Doc. 6, are ADOPTED		
10	IN FULL;		
11	2. Plaintiff's first amended complaint, filed March 18, 2025, Doc. 5, is DISMISSED		
12	without leave to amend; and		
13	3. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to close this case.		
14			
15			
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
17	Dated: May 14, 2025 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			